



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/627,424	07/27/2000	Mamoru Uchida	1403-0203P	2636
7590	05/12/2005		EXAMINER	
Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch LLP			MAKI, STEVEN D	
P O Box 747			ART UNIT	
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747			PAPER NUMBER	

1733

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

25

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No. 09/627,424	Applicant(s) UCHIDA ET AL	
	Examiner Steven D. Maki	Art Unit 1733	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 18 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☒ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
 7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, ~~the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.~~
 The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
 Claim(s) allowed: _____.
 Claim(s) objected to: _____.
 Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 5.
 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. ☒ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
 9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the reasons given in the last office action..
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.
 13. ☐ Other: _____.

ADVISORY ACTION ATTACHMENT

The 132 declaration filed 4-18-05 (after final rejection), but before the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why this 132 declaration is necessary and was not earlier presented.

In the after final response filed 4-18-05, applicant states: "In the Declaration [filed 4-18-05], data for fiber lengths of 0.1 and 3 mm is provided, each of which fall within the claimed range of 0.1 to 5 mm." and then states "Applicants have now demonstrated that the values of average fiber length substantially over the entire range of 0.1 to 5 mm result in highly desirable results". (page 3 of response filed 4-18-05 / emphasis added). With respect to the claimed range of "0.1 to 5 mm", the examiner informed applicant that unexpected results have not been shown for the entire range of 0.1 to 5 mm in the final office action dated 11-16-04 (page 4), the non-final office action dated 6-3-04 (page 8) and the advisory action dated 6-12-03 (page 2). Since the examiner informed applicant *prior* to the final office action dated 11-16-04 that unexpected results have not been shown for the entire range of 0.1 to 5 mm, applicant has failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the 132 declaration filed 4-18-05 is necessary and was not earlier presented (e.g. presented before the final office action dated 11-16-04).

Applicant also states: "In response [to the scope of enablement rejection regarding the entire claimed range of 0.1 to 5 mm], applicant's submit herewith a Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 which rebuts the position of the Examiner.". This

Art Unit: 1733


statement fails provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the 132 declaration filed 4-18-05 is necessary and was not earlier presented (e.g. presented before the final office action dated 11-16-04) because (1) the scope of enablement rejection regarding the entire claimed range of 0.1 to 5 mm was made on pages 2-3 of the non-final office action dated 6-3-04 and (2) the final office action dated 11-16-04 did not contain a new ground of rejection (see paragraph 8).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven D. Maki whose telephone number is (571) 272-1221. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on (571) 272-1156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven D. Maki
May 7, 2005


STEVEN D. MAKI
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1300
Av 1733
5-7-05